

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,816 07/24/2001		Kazuho Oku	13280-003001	6289
26161	7590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC P.O. BOX 10	CHARDSON PC 22		TAYLOR, NICHOLAS R	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/911,816	OKU, KAZUHO
	Examiner	Art Unit
ĺ	Nicholas R. Taylor	2141

	Nicholas R. Taylor	2141	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence add	ress
THE RE	PLY FILED 25 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	· ·	
1. ⊠ Th th pla a	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of s application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply make periods:	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🛛			
b) [	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti	on.
nave bee under 37 set forth may redu	ns of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply origing (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ⊠ Th of ap	e Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>25 November 2005</u> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CF) peal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period MENTS	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	,	
	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially re appeal; and/or	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d)	☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
	NOTE: see attached. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
_	ne amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
no	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, n-allowable claim(s).	•	•
ho	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered, or b) $\square$ will with the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
	aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to:		
	aim(s) rejected to: aim(s) rejected: <u>2-14,20, and 23-28</u> .		
CI	aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
be	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Nicause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavis not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
en	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after e ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ntry is below or attach	ied.
1. 🛛 T	he request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
_	<u>rior rejections were not overcome.</u> ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
			/
	M	HUPAL DHARIA	MINER

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 2-14, 20, and 23-28 were presented for examination and are rejected.
- 2. The proposed amendments will not be entered due to limiting language added that will require further consideration, e.g. the addition of the adjective "input" to claim 1, paragraph 4, modifying "URLs" to be a specific "input URL" rather than any URL provided by the authentication server.

#### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/25/2005 have been fully considered but they are deemed not persuasive.
- 4. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that:
- (A) Prior art of Kahn does not teach a data server that provides a channel URLs that are of a set of URLS of web servers that provide contents of a predetermined field, and binds and transmits a plurality of contents respectively provided by web servers into a single channel and requests that the corresponding web server provide the contents.

As to point (A), Kahn teaches a system that processes content into corresponding channel URLS (Kahn, column 9, line 65 to column 10, line 19, wherein the content type classification are channel URLs of a predetermined field). Kahn then binds this content into a single channel: "...retrieved content is aggregated at a network server...". After this is content is combined, it is retrieved from the corresponding web

Art Unit: 2141

servers, taught specifically in Kahn when "content from the world wide web is collected and converted" that is later then provided to the system (Kahn, column 10, lines 51-65).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as applied to the claims are unchanged and are recited in a previous FINAL office action mailed 7/19/2005.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Taylor whose telephone number is (571) 272-3889. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am to 5:30pm, with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3718.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,816 Page 4

Art Unit: 2141

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nicholas Taylor Examiner Art Unit 2141